IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4615 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 670 OF 2005

Sandip Ramchandra Panmalekar ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr. Abhaykumar Apte, Advocate for Applicant

Ms. S.V.Gajare, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 19TH OCTOBER, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking bail. The applicant has been convicted under Section 304 (part-I) and sentenced to R.I. for seven years. From the evidence on record it is evident that the applicant assaulted his wife. Seventeen incised wounds were found on the body of his wife. The wife died due to the said assault. Looking to this fact, I do not find that this is a fit case to grant bail to the applicant. Application is rejected.

3. The learned advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is also seeking bail on the medical ground that his father is to be operated. However, no such ground has been raised in the present application, hence, the applicant is granted liberty to file separate application for bail on the ground of illness of his father.

*****